1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE		
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION		
3			
4	July 13, 2010 Concord, New	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5		Hampsnire	
6		DT 10 140	
7	RE:	GRANITE STATE ELECTRIC COMPANY AND	
8		NEW ENGLAND POWER COMPANY d/b/a NATIONAL GRID: Integrated Resource	
9		Plan. (Prehearing conference)	
10			
11	PRESENT:	Commissioner Clifton C. Below	
12		Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius	
13		Sandy Deno, Clerk	
14			
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Granite State Electric Co. and	
16		New England Power Company d/b/a National Grid:	
17		Sarah Knowlton, Esq. (McLane, Graf)	
18		Reptg. PUC Staff:	
19		Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. George R. McCluskey, Electric Division	
20			
21			
22			
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52		
24			

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
5	Ms. Knowlton	4
6	Ms. Amidon	5
7		
8	QUESTION BY CMSR. BELOW	5
9		•
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

{DE 10-142} [Prehearing conference] $\{07-13-10\}$

PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning,
everyone. We'll open the prehearing conference in Docket
DE 10-142. On May 12, 2010, National Grid filed its 2010
Least Cost Integrated Plan. Among other things, such a
plan must include a forecast of demand; an assessment of
demand-side energy management programs; an assessment of
supply options; an assessment of transmission
requirements; and an integration of demand and supply-side
options. The order of notice was issued on June 29,
setting the hearing for this morning.

So, can we take appearances please.

MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning

Commissioners. My name is Sarah Knowlton. I'm with the McLane law firm. I'm here today on behalf of Granite State Electric Company, which does business in New Hampshire as National Grid. And, with me today on behalf of the Company is Robert Sheridan.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.

MR. SHERIDAN: Good morning.

MS. AMIDON: Good morning. Suzanne

Amidon, for Commission Staff. And, with me this morning
is George McCluskey, who is an Analyst with the Electric

Division.

{DE 10-142} [Prehearing conference] {07-13-10}

4

1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. I'll note 2 for the record that the affidavit of publication has been submitted. 3 4 So, we'll turn to the Petitioner for a statement of position. 5 6 MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. On May 12th, 7 2010, National Grid filed its Integrated Resource Plan, which covers the period January 1st, 2010 to 8 December 31st, 2012. The Company submitted this plan 10 consistent with the provisions of RSA 378:38. 11 plan, the Company provides an overview of the Company's 12 transmission and distribution planning processes and 13 explains how demand-side response programs and energy 14 efficiency are incorporated into the planning process. 15 The Company also describes the processes that it uses to 16 ensure that transmission and distribution systems are 17 maintained to meet both federal and state requirements. 18 The Company provides a detailed 19 description of how the energy markets that are 20 administered by ISO-New England are structured to procure 21 adequate supply and demand resources to meet reliability 22 objectives at the least cost. 23 In addition, the Company describes in 24 its plan its procedures that it follows to ensure that

5

1 transmission and distribution systems are reliable, in 2 service -- in provision of service to customers. And, 3 then finally, again, the plan also goes into detail about 4 the role of demand response and distributed generation, 5 and how the company incorporates those resources as 6 appropriate into its transmission and distribution 7 planning processes. 8 The Company is -- we've already 9 responded to some discovery, and are continuing to do so. 10 And, we'll look forward to working with Staff to develop 11 an appropriate procedural schedule for the docket. 12 Thank you. Ms. Amidon. CHAIRMAN GETZ: 13 MS. AMIDON: Thank you. As attorney 14 Knowlton indicated, we've already commenced discovery in this docket. And, at this point, one of the interests of 15 16 Staff is the demand-side management and how those 17 activities relate to the distribution planning. We have a 18 proposed procedural schedule, which we plan to discuss 19 with the Company after the prehearing conference, and hope 20 to be able to recommend that to the Commission following 21 the prehearing conference and technical session. 22 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you. 23 CMSR. BELOW: I do have a question for 24 the Company. I note that on Page -- well, there's two

page numbers, 24 and 26, I quess the Bates stamp is 26, with regard to demand response, the brief discussion of it concludes with a statement that "The Company will incorporate screening of targeted demand response programs into its alternative analysis for system upgrades going forward, potentially leveraging the increasing amounts of demand response resources participating in the FCM." then, in the following two sections, where it talks about "Incorporation of DG", or distributed generation, "Facilities", and then subsequently "Energy Efficiency Programs", there's more of a reference, for instance, on Page 27, says "To the extent DG does impact peak loads, the Company incorporates their historic output into system planning going forward." Which is sort of a reactive approach to it. And, then, I think sort of the same thing with energy efficiency, you talk about how you incorporate avoided T&D costs into the analysis of energy efficiency.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But what I'm wondering is if the Company has given consideration, or if this could be explored in the docket, to the same point that you make with regard to targeted demand response, which is, is there an opportunity to target distributed generation or target intensified energy efficiency programs as a potential alternative analysis to system upgrades going forward?

1 And, so, I just sort of want to ask if that's something that could be explored in this proceeding, in terms of how 2 3 the Company looks at circuits and how they're approaching capacity, and what the alternatives are for either trying to defer, avoid growth and the demand or the need to 5 upgrade the capacity of distribution facilities. 6 7 MS. KNOWLTON: I do think that's 8 something that can be addressed in the docket. And, I 9 think there have been some discovery requests from the 10 Staff already that touch on that issue. But that is 11 absolutely something that we can explore. 12 CMSR. BELOW: Okay. Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Is there anything 14 else that we need to address this morning? 15 (No verbal response) 16 CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Hearing 17 nothing, then we'll close the prehearing conference, await a recommendation with regard to a procedural schedule, and 18 19 take the matter under advisement. Thank you. 20 (Whereupon the prehearing conference 21 ended at 10:12 a.m. and thereafter a 22 technical session was held.) 23 24